## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA

JOHN GRAVLEY,

Petitioner,

v. Civil Action No. 2:09cv52

TOM SCOTT, Warden,

Respondent.

## ORDER

It will be recalled that on April 23, 2009, Magistrate Judge David J. Joel filed his Preliminary Review and Report and Recommendation Recommending Dismissal of the Petition, wherein the parties were directed, in accordance with 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), to file with the Clerk of Court any written objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy of the Report and Recommendation. No objections were timely filed within the requisite time period. Accordingly, the Court will review the Magistrate's Report and Recommendation for clear error.<sup>1</sup>

Upon examination of the report from the Magistrate Judge, it appears to the Court that the issues raised by the Petitioner in his Petition, filed pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §2241, wherein Petitioner appears to be challenging the conditions of his incarceration, were thoroughly considered by Magistrate Judge Joel in his Report and Recommendation. Moreover, the Court, upon a review for clear error, is of the opinion that the Report and Recommendation accurately reflects the law applicable to the facts and circumstances before the Court in this action. Therefore, it is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The failure of a party to object to a Report and Recommendation waives the party's right to appeal from a judgment of this Court based thereon and, additionally, relieves the Court of any obligation to conduct a *de novo* review of the issues presented. See <u>Wells v. Shriners Hospital</u>, 109 F.3d 198, 199-200 (4<sup>th</sup> Cir. 1997); <u>Thomas v. Arn</u>, 474 U.S. 140, 148-153 (1985).

**ORDERED** that Magistrate Judge Joel's Preliminary Review and Report and

Recommendation shall be, and the same hereby is, accepted in whole and that this civil

action be disposed of in accordance with the recommendation of the Magistrate Judge.

Accordingly, it is

**ORDERED** that the Petitioner's Application for Writ of Habeas Corpus pursuant

to 28 U.S.C. §2241 shall be, and the same hereby is, **DENIED** and **DISMISSED** 

without prejudice. It is further

ORDERED that the above-styled action shall be STRICKEN from the docket of

this Court. It is further

**ORDERED** that the Clerk shall enter judgment for the Respondent. It is further

**ORDERED** that, if a party should desire to appeal the decision of this Court,

written notice of appeal must be received by the Clerk of this Court within thirty (30)

days from the date of the entry of the Judgment Order, pursuant to Rule 4 of the

Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure. The \$5.00 filing fee for the notice of appeal and

the \$450.00 docketing fee should also be submitted with the notice of appeal. In the

alternative, at the time the notice of appeal is submitted, Petitioner may, in accordance

with the provisions of Rule 24(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, seek

leave to proceed in forma pauperis from the United States Court of Appeals for the

Fourth Circuit.

ENTER: October 29, 2009

/s/ Robert E. Maxwell

United States District Judge